CPA

Practice **Advisor**

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

without potential trade-offs.

Jan. 03, 2023



By Alexis Leondis, Bloomberg Opinion (TNS)

Wealthy retirees seem to have scored big in Congress' sweeping year-end spending package.

The legislation signed by President Joe Biden last Thursday includes changes to retirement plans that will push up the age people are required to start withdrawing

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

still weigh the implications for your taxes, heirs and Medicare premiums before you decide to wait until the deadline.

How much the IRS requires you to take out each year is based on account balances and age. Holding off will likely result in bigger required withdrawals and potentially heftier tax hits when you do finally start taking money out. (If you have a 401(k), be sure you know what your own plan requires, as employers may have different guidelines for distribution.)

For instance, the IRS would require a 72-year-old with a \$1 million retirement plan to take a distribution of about \$36,500 this year. Delaying the withdrawal would allow that money to stay invested and grow. But that likely means a higher account balance in future years and fewer years to spread it over—thus the minimum distribution required later would be higher.

And since those distributions are considered income, they'll affect how much you pay in Medicare premiums, potentially cranking up your insurance costs each year.

If you're thinking about your heirs, they could be even worse off tax-wise if you delay your distributions for too long. Under changes made in 2019, non-spousal beneficiaries—meaning children who are over the age of 18—who inherit retirement accounts have to empty them within 10 years after the original accountholder dies (for deaths after 2019).

That could mean bigger distributions for your children down the line, possibly hitting them in their highest-earning years when they would be subject to the highest tax rates.

"It's 'The Great Tax Crunch,'" says Jeff Levine, a CPA and financial planner at Buckingham Wealth Partners. "Fewer years of forced distributions plus fewer years Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

heirs who fail to take annual withdrawals under the new rule. And now, thanks to changes in the current year-end bill, punishments have been eased. Amounts not withdrawn as required will be subject to a 25% tax—half what it was before—and as little as 10% if the withdrawal is made soon enough.

If delaying distributions still seems like the way to go, wealthy savers may be able to use the extra time they're given to convert some of an IRA to a Roth IRA, says Ed Slott, a CPA who specializes in IRAs.

With a Roth IRA, you pay tax upfront and enjoy tax-free withdrawals after the age of 59 and 1/2, as long as the money has been in the account for at least five years. Converting to a Roth IRA is often best-suited for those in their early 70s when income is relatively low (so the tax rate applied to the conversion amount is lower) and required minimum distributions haven't yet started (to avoid having to pay taxes on the distribution at the same time you're paying taxes on a conversion.)

With Roth IRAs, accountholders aren't subject to required minimum distributions for that money, so it can continue growing for their heirs. A Roth IRA is generally more advantageous for heirs, too, because they don't have to pay taxes on withdrawals if the money has been in the account for five years.

So, yes, the new government spending package holds some potential advantages for wealthy retirees, but they don't come without potential trade-offs. Thinking it through from all the angles will help you make the decision that's best for you.

ABOUT THE WRITER

Alexis Leondis is a Bloomberg Opinion columnist covering personal finance. Previously, she oversaw tax coverage for Bloomberg News.

©2022 Bloomberg L.P. Visit bloomberg.com/opinion. Distributed by Tribune

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

© 2024 Firmworks, LLC. All rights reserved