

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

states to tax remote sales. Exactly how they would do that is, at this point, unclear.

Jan. 15, 2018



The U.S. Supreme Court has [agreed to consider a case](#) that has the potential to change how states can tax sales by ecommerce merchants and other out-of-state sellers.

Reporting in the [Avalara blog](#), Gail Cole notes that the case, [South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc.](#), challenges the Court's decision in *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, 504 U.S. 298 (1992). Upholding an earlier decision, *Quill* reaffirmed that a state could only require a business with a physical presence in the state to collect and remit sales tax.

Businesses lacking that physical presence could not be required to collect or remit the

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

inability to tax all internet sales.

To challenge the physical presence precedent upheld by Quill, South Dakota created an [economic nexus law](#) in 2016. The law requires out-of-state sellers making at least 200 sales of taxable goods or services in South Dakota or making more than \$100,000 from sales of the same in the state to collect and remit South Dakota sales and use tax. The state declared the law was necessary because there is an “[urgent need for the Supreme Court of the United States to reconsider](#)” the physical presence precedent.

The law was immediately challenged and has been making its way to the steps of the Supreme Court ever since. Today, the Court has agreed to hear South Dakota's challenge to Quill. A ruling is expected by late June.

What next?

It depends. If the Court decides in favor of South Dakota, that decision would pave the way for all states to tax remote sales. Exactly how they would do that is, at this point, unclear.

If the Court decides in favor of Wayfair, Inc., the issue would remain unresolved. Another state would likely step forward to challenge Quill, most likely Wyoming, Indiana, or Tennessee, all of which have related cases in the pipeline. And the U.S. Congress would likely face increased pressure to deal with the issue.

Congress has toyed with the issue of untaxed remote sales for years. In 2013, the Senate approved the Marketplace Fairness Act (MFA) of 2013, which sought to grant states with simplified sales tax laws the right to tax certain remote sales. However, the measure was stalled in the House Judiciary Committee. Later iterations of the

MFA and at least two versions of a similar measure, the Remote Transactions Parity

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

CPA Practice Advisor is registered with the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) as a sponsor of continuing professional education on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors.

© 2024 Firmworks, LLC. All rights reserved