CPA

Practice **Advisor**

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

employers, the National Labor Relations Board's general counsel has continued a series of far-reaching actions that could upend how many American companies conduct business, including recent decisions asserting jurisdiction over social media policies, confidentiality policies, other company policies of non-union workplaces, and even employee activity on Facebook.

Richard D. Alaniz • Sep. 04, 2014



With the recent decision that McDonald's and its franchisees could be joint employers, the National Labor Relations Board's general counsel has continued a series of far-reaching actions that could upend how many American companies conduct business, including recent decisions asserting jurisdiction over social media

policies, confidentiality policies, other company policies of non-union workplaces,

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

meritless and 64 are still pending investigation, he found merit in 43 charges. Griffin took his decision one step further, finding both the franchisees and the franchisor could be potentially liable together. This determination could dramatically affect not just McDonald's, but any business that runs franchises by finding that both franchiser and franchisees share liability for employment decisions made at the franchisee level.

"The Office of the General Counsel has authorized complaints on alleged violations of the National Labor Relations Act. If the parties cannot reach settlement in these cases, complaints will issue and McDonald's, USA, LLC will be named as a joint employer respondent," according to a statement by the NLRB. "In the 43 cases where complaint has been authorized, McDonald's franchisees and/or McDonald's, USA, LLC will be named as a respondent if parties are unable to reach settlement."

McDonald's USA has vowed to fight the decision. "McDonald's serves its 3,000 independent franchisees' interests by protecting and promoting the McDonald's brand and by providing access to resources related to food quality, customer service and restaurant management, among other things, that help them run successful businesses," said Heather Smedstad, Senior Vice President Human Resources, McDonald's USA, in a statement. "This relationship does not establish a joint employer relationship under the law. This decision to allow unfair labor practice complaints to allege that McDonald's is a joint employer with its franchisees is wrong. McDonald's will contest this allegation in the appropriate forum.

"McDonald's also believes that this decision changes the rules for thousands of small businesses, and goes against decades of established law regarding the franchise model in the United States," she continued. "McDonald's, as well as every other company involved in franchising, relies on these existing rules to run successful businesses as part of a system that every day creates significant employment, entrepreneurial and economic opportunities across the country," she said.

The National Restaurant Association is one of many groups to weigh in against the

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

employees, and the economy as a whole."

While a final ruling on the case may be far off, companies should understand how the determination could impact them. Beyond the McDonald's case, they should prepare now for the NLRB to continue its recent expansion into non-union and non-traditional workspaces.

Union Activity Spurs Initial Complaints

According to media reports, the complaints filed against McDonald's started when fast-food employees waged a series of strikes in support of a \$15 minimum wage. The strikes targeted McDonald's and other restaurants.

The strikes were reportedly organized by groups funded by unions. Efforts to increase wages are generally protected under the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA). After the strikes, workers filed complaints with the NLRB claiming they had been punished for their protests by having their hours cut or by being terminated, in violation of the NLRA.

While Griffin's determination is significant, it does not carry the weight of an NLRB ruling. If McDonald's doesn't agree to a settlement, the next step will be hearings before administrative law judges about the employees' claims in the various regional offices of the NLRB. If the administrative law judges find that unfair labor practices took place and that McDonald's is a joint employer, McDonald's can appeal. The appeal would take place before the NLRB. McDonald's could appeal any unfavorable rulings to the federal courts, and ultimately the U.S. Supreme Court.

Changes, Questions at the NLRB

The general counsel's determination comes at a time when the NLRB is being seen as becoming more pro-union, even as the U.S. Supreme Court has called many of its decisions into question.

The general counsel's latest actions against McDonald's are part of an ongoing

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

McDonald's has rejected this new definition. "McDonald's does not direct or codetermine the hiring, termination, wages, hours or any other essential terms and conditions of employment of our franchisees' employees – which are the well-established criteria governing the definition of a 'joint employer'," said McDonald's Smedstad.

The determination also comes at a time when the validity of many of the NLRB's recent decisions has come under fire by the U.S. Supreme Court. In June, the nation's highest court issued a unanimous ruling in Noel Canning v. NLRB that found that the recess appointments of three of the five NLRB members, made in January 2012, were unconstitutional.

Griffin himself was one of the recess appointments that the Supreme Court ruled against. Before being sworn in as general counsel of the NLRB on Nov. 4, 2013, for a four-year term, he was an NLRB board member from January 2012 until soon after the Noel Canning decision, during the summer of 2013. Griffin has a long history with unions. Before joining the NLRB, he was general counsel for the International Union of Operating Engineers and also served on the board of directors for the AFL-CIO Lawyers Coordinating Committee.

Next Steps

If the NLRB ultimately upholds Griffin's determination, the effects could be farranging not just for those in the fast-food business, but for franchisors in other industries. Even companies that don't franchise need to be aware of the NLRB's changes in direction and increasing activity. A joint employer claim could be made regarding other workers that may be present in the workplace, such as contract or temporary employees. To prevent being blindsided by any new developments or decisions, companies should take several steps:

• Stay on top of new developments. While the McDonald's matter could drag on

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

ramifications and prepare a plan for moving forward, if necessary.

- Understand the politics. Many of the actions involved with recent NLRB activity revolve around unions trying to increase their numbers after years of declining membership. In many cases, unions are being met with sympathetic responses from elected officials and appointed boards. In order to ensure the best interests of organizations and their employees, companies need to be realistic about the political, legal and economic environment that is driving many NLRB rulings and decisions.
- Consider action through trade groups. As the unions understand, there is strength in numbers. Many trade groups, including the National Restaurant Association and the International Franchise Association, are working to educate those inside and outside their industries about the potential effects that broadening the definition of joint employer could have. Companies should consider working with relevant trade groups and others to make sure their voices are heard and their concerns are addressed before it is too late.

For companies that have struggled to stay afloat during recent economic and political events, the McDonald's case represents another challenge. By preparing now, companies can work to minimize any adverse impacts from the NLRB.

Richard D. Alaniz is senior partner at Alaniz Schraeder Linker Farris Mayes, L.L.P., a national labor and employment firm based in Houston. He has been at the forefront of labor and employment law for over thirty years, including stints with the U.S. Department of Labor and the National Labor Relations Board. Rick is a prolific writer on labor and employment law and conducts frequent seminars to client companies and trade associations across the country. Questions about this article, or requests to subscribe to receive Rick's

monthly articles, can be addressed to Rick at (281) 833-2200 or ralaniz@alaniz-

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

(NASBA) as a sponsor of continuing professional education on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors.

© 2024 Firmworks, LLC. All rights reserved