

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

individual mandate "tax"

Jim Buttonow • Jul. 10, 2012

The Supreme Court recently settled a divisive debate when it ruled that the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) individual mandate is constitutional and that the “shared responsibility payment” is a tax. What does this mean for tax practitioners and their clients?

Background

Starting in 2014, the PPACA will require individuals, with few exceptions, to carry minimum health care coverage for themselves and their dependents, or pay a fee, referred to as a “shared responsibility payment.”

Prior to the Supreme Court decision, the original PPACA defined the shared responsibility payment as a “penalty” for individuals who decline to purchase health insurance under the required mandate. However, the Court concluded that the mandate was constitutional because the payment was part of Congress’ broad power to tax. Thus, the Court effectively stated that the required shared responsibility payment was, in fact, a tax.

How does this affect your clients? Clients who have health insurance through private providers or through Medicare or Medicaid, low-income individuals and certain special groups will be exempt from the individual mandate. But, according to Congressional Budget Office estimates, 4 million taxpayers in the United States will have to pay the mandate tax in 2014, when provisions in the new law are implemented.

Many of these 4 million taxpayers are among the 27 million small businesses in the

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

Regardless of how you feel about it, the shared responsibility payment will be a tax, as decided by the Supreme Court. — The original legislation identified the individual mandate payment as a penalty. However, the Supreme Court reasoned that the payment is a tax because it is not intended to be punitive in nature and because it applies even if the taxpayer unknowingly violates the mandate.

Whether or not you agree with the Court's decision, one thing is clear: The IRS has the authority to assess and collect the tax. ??How the IRS will enforce the mandate tax remains to be seen. Today, the IRS sends a series of notices to taxpayers with unpaid balances. If the taxpayer does not pay the balance, the IRS routes the account its collection function, which enforces compliance through tax liens and levies of wages, income and certain financial accounts.

However, under the PPACA, the IRS is given limited authority to collect the new mandate tax. Under the current legislation, the IRS cannot route unpaid mandate tax balances to collection for enforcement, nor can it issue liens or levies. The IRS will be limited to sending notices and offsetting refunds to collect the tax.

The IRS commonly keeps refunds to collect back taxes owed, and the PPACA will not be an exception to this rule. In fact, in 2011, the IRS collected more than 31% of the balances owed for the year using credit transfers from refunds and other overpayments. For the majority of taxpayers, this is a viable option; 77% of 2011 returns filed received a refund averaging \$2,707 per return.

What's Next?

It's unclear whether the Supreme Court's ruling on the PPACA mandate tax will change the rules on how the IRS will enforce nonpayment. IRS Commissioner Douglas Shulman has not indicated that anything will change, but the IRS has

started hiring additional attorneys and other personnel to implement and enforce

Hello. It looks like you're using an ad blocker that may prevent our website from working properly. To receive the best experience possible, please make sure any blockers are switched off and refresh the page.

If you have any questions or need help you can email us

About the Author

Jim Buttonow, CPA, CITP—Jim is Vice President of Product Development and Co-founder of the tax technology company New River Innovation. Jim's professional mission is to apply emerging technology to problems faced by tax professionals after they file.

Jim is a CPA and former IRS Large Case Team Audit Coordinator. He worked at the IRS for 19 years. Since leaving the IRS, Jim has represented many clients before the IRS. At New River Innovation, Jim is the chief architect of Beyond415 (Beyond415.com), an award-winning technology for tax practitioners to efficiently handle IRS issues, notices and audits. Through Beyond415, Jim also develops and presents CPE series on IRS practice and procedure for issues that arise after filing, such as audits, notices and discrepancies. Jim regularly speaks on compliance trends and post-filing practice efficiency strategies for CPA and accounting firms.

IRS

CPA Practice Advisor is registered with the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) as a sponsor of continuing professional education on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors.

© 2024 Firmworks, LLC. All rights reserved